UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,397	07/14/2005	Hans-Martin Wiedenmann	10191/3600	3134
26646 KENYON & K	7590 08/04/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	SALZMAN, KOURTNEY R		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/510,397	WIEDENMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		TOOTTIVET IN ONLESSION	1798	
Ti	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY I	FILED <u>13 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
applicat applicat for Cont <u>pe</u> riods:		replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
_	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
no e	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of ti have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b) a	NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later y earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the	cice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	oposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, hey raise new issues that would require further co hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOī ow);	ΓE below);	
(d)	hey are not deemed to place the application in bef ppeal; and/or hey present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje		ne issues for
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	nendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
	proposed or amended claim(s) would be alwable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
how the The sta Claim(s Claim(s Claim(s	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- tus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) allowed:) objected to:) rejected:) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	planation of
	PR OTHER EVIDENCE			
because	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but e applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	fidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	quest for reconsideration has been considered bu ontinuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note th 13. ☐ Other:	ne attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>July 13,</u>	2009.	
/Nam X Ng Supervisory	uyen/ / Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Eichler teaches the warm up to be a short-term operation and that warm up and secondary fuel injection "can occur in relatively short periods of lean operation". There is no indication that warm up is either short term or long term relative time periods, in both Eichler or when implying standard reasoning of one of ordinary skill. Warm up would be shorter in warm climates and significantly slower in colder climates, therefore, there is no teaching that warm up is only a short term event, and as stated, it can be both. With this conclusion, the arguments supplied in page 4 that the combination is not valid because one reference refers to long-term operation, while the other refers to short-term is moot and invalid. Applicant argues in reference to the final rejection that, "there is no indication to apply polarity reversal to short term lean operation". Moreover, short-term operation is not required of the claim, simply during warm-up. There is no reason why you would not perform a polarity reversal on any lean operation, since, as stated in Lenfers et al, rich drift will be prevented. Furthermore, the applicant argues on page 5, that no support for the reasonable expectation of success has been provided since Lenfers et al refers to long-term lean operation, while Eichler refers to short-term lean operation. This conclusion regarding Eichler is invalid; therefore, the prevention of rich drift would be a valid in the lean operation of Eichler by the reversal of polarity of Lenfers. There is no argument why the combination would not be successful beyond allegations of patentability.

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS submitted with the after-final filing on July 13, 2009 has been cons.